FARELLA BRAUN+MARTEL LLP

Attorneys At Law

Russ Building / 235 Montgomery Street San Francisco / CA 94104

T 415.954.4400 / F 415.954.4480 www.fbm.com

KAREN P. KIMMEY kkimmey@fbm.com D 415.954.4407

June 22, 2010

Via ECF/PACER filing

Hon. Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: National Union v. NVIDIA Corporation, Case No. 09-2046 CW

Dear Judge Zimmerman:

On June 10, 2010, this Court issued an Order instructing NVIDIA to complete its document production by the end of the month if the parties were not able to get an extension from Judge Wilken of her October 27, 2009 Case Management Order. The Order also instructed National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA ("National Union") to resolve any document production issues with third parties by the end of June, or file an appropriate motion. (See Doc. #136.) On June 16, 2010, the parties submitted a Stipulated Request for Order Changing Time pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2. (See Doc. #137.) Specifically, the parties requested an extension from September 10, 2010 to March 10, 2011 for the completion of fact discovery. On June 18, 2010, Judge Wilken issued the attached order granting the request. (See Doc. #139.)

Given the extension of the discovery period, the parties have agreed to continue to discuss the timing and manner of completing NVIDIA's document production. The parties will continue to meet and confer as needed to discuss any issues, and do not believe that the Court's intervention is required at this point. Therefore, while NVIDIA will endeavor to complete its production as quickly as possible, NVIDIA requests that it be excused from the requirement that it complete its voluminous production by the end of June.


Additionally, both prior to and following the issuance of Judge Wilken's Order, William J. Goines, counsel for National Union, has engaged in conversations with counsel for the third parties who have been served with subpoenas (Apple, Dell, Hewlett-Packard, and Toshiba) and is continuing to meet and confer with them in regard to the scope of the subpoenas and for the production of responsive documents. Given the extension of the time period to complete fact discovery and that the meet and confer and production process is ongoing, National Union requests that it be excused from the requirement that it file any motions with respect to these



Magistrate Judge Bernard Zimmerman June 22, 2010 Page 2

third parties by the end of June. With the Court's permission, any motions, if necessary, would be filed no later than September 1, 2010.

Very truly yours,



/s/	<u> </u>	
iom I Coinag		

William J. Goines Attorney for Plaintiff National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA

/s/

Karen P. Kimmey Attorney for Defendant NVIDIA Corporation

Case4:09-cv-02046-CW Document139 Filed06/18/10 Page1 of 3

Mary E. McCutcheon (State Bar No. 099939))	; ·
mmccutcheon@fbm.com Karen P. Kimmey (State Bar No. 173284)		
kkimmey@fbm.com Amanda D. Hairston (State Bar No. 251096)	• •	t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
ahairston@fbm.com Farella Braun & Martel LLP	•	
235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480		
Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-claima NVIDIA CORPORATION	nt	
NVIDIA CORI ORATION		
. UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COUR	т
NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFOR	NIA
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE	Com No. C.00.204	16 CYV
COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA,	Case No. C 09-2046 CW	
	D-1-4-1 (1 N).	10 1010 000
Plaintiff,	Related Case No.	10-1812 CW
Plaintiff, vs.	STIPULATED R	EQUEST FOR ORDER
	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM	
vs.	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM	EQUEST FOR ORDER IE PURSUANT TO CIVIL
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION,	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO Judge:	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon, Claudia Wilken
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant.	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. NVIDIA CORPORATION,	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Counter-claimant,	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Counter-claimant, vs.	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Counter-claimant,	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Counter-claimant, vs. NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Counter-claimant, vs. NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA,	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Counter-claimant, vs. NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA,	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2
vs. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Defendant. NVIDIA CORPORATION, Counter-claimant, vs. NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA,	STIPULATED R CHANGING TIM L.R. 6-2; PROPO	EQUEST FOR ORDER ME PURSUANT TO CIVIL OSED ORDER - AS MODI Hon. Claudia Wilken 2

Case4:09-cv-02046-CW Document139 Filed06/18/10 Page2 of 3

.]	
. 1	
2	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, submit the
3	following stipulation and request an order changing time:
4	WHEREAS, the Court filed a Minute Order and Case Management Order on October 27,
5.	2009 (the "October 27 Order"), which set the schedule for the above-captioned case as follows:
6	• 12/15/2009: ADR session deadline (private mediation)
7	 09/10/2010: Completion of fact discovery 09/10/2010: Disclosure of identities and reports of expert witnesses
8	 10/28/2010: Plaintiff to file opening brief re dispositive motion 10/29/2010: Completion of expert discovery
9	• 11/12/2010: Defendant's opposition and any cross-motion due
10	 11/18/2010: Plaintiff's reply and opposition to cross-motion 11/29/2010: Defendant's reply to cross-motion
11	• 12/16/2010: All case-dispositive motions to be heard on or before 2 p.m. Further Case Management Conference
12	03/15/2011: Final Pretrial Conference
13	03/28/2011: 10-day jury trial to begin WHEREAS, the parties completed the ADR session within the schedule set forth in the
14	October 27 Order;
15	WHEREAS, the parties have engaged in fact discovery and are continuing to do so;
16	WHEREAS, neither Plaintiff nor Defendant expect to be able to complete fact discovery
17	by the September 10, 2010 deadline;
18	WHEREAS, the parties believe and agree that they need approximately six months from
19	September 10, 2010, to complete fact discovery, as they require additional written and document
20	discovery and the taking of depositions;
21	WHEREAS, the parties have never before requested from this Court an extension of any
22	deadline in this case;
23	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:
24	Subject to the Court's approval of this Stipulation, the schedule set forth in the October 27
25	Order shall be modified as follows:
26	• 03/10/2011: Completion of fact discovery
27	 03/10/2011: Disclosure of identities and reports of expert witnesses 4/28/2011: Plaintiff to file opening brief re dispositive motion
28	• 4/29/2011: Completion of expert discovery
	 5/12/2011: Defendant's opposition and any cross-motion due 5/18/2011: Plaintiff's reply and opposition to cross-motion
nel LLP	

Case4:09-cv-02046-CW Document139 Filed06/18/10 Page3 of 3

l l	
1	
2	 5/30/2011: Defendant's reply to cross-motion 6/16/2011: All case-dispositive motions to be heard on or before 2 p.m.
3	Further Case Management Conference
4	 09/15/2011: Final Pretrial Conference 09/28/2011: 10-day jury trial to begin
5	
6	
7	Dated: June 15, 2010 BATES & CAREY LLP
. 8	
9	By: Jennifer Bergstrom
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-defendant
11	NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA
12	
13	
14	Dated: June 15, 2010 FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
15	$1/\Omega 1$
16	By Karen P. Kimmey
17	Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-claimant
18	NVIDIA CORPORATION
19	
20	
21	
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED., EXCEPT THAT PTC
23	WILL BE 9/13 & TRIAL WILL BE 9/26/11.
24	
25	Dated: 6/18/2010 Quelle H
26	JUDGE CLAUDIA WILKEN United States District Judge
27	
28	

Farcila Braum & Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor Sen Francisco, CA 94104

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME; [PROPOSED] ORDER, CASE NO. 09-2046 CW

24663\2283626.1